## Note on completeness and its consequences for Week 9

Definition 1. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $X$ is said to be bounded if there is some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leq b$ for all $x \in X$. The real number $b$ is said to be an upper bound for $X$.

Additional exercise 1. If a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded then there are infinitely many upper bounds for $X$.
Definition 2. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ then $b$ is said to be the supremum of $X$ if
(1) $b$ is an upper bound for $X$
(2) if $\bar{b}<b$ then $\bar{b}$ is not an upper bound for $X$.

Additional exercise 2. A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has at most one supremum.
Theorem 1. Every non-empty, bounded set of reals has a supremum.
Proof. This will be proved for $X \subseteq[0,1]$ since the general case follows easily from this. Each $x \in X$ can be represented in decimal expansion as an infinite sequence $x=0 . x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \ldots$ of the ten digits $0,1,2,3 \ldots, 8,9$. In other words, each $x_{n}$ is an integer between 0 and 9 .

Let $s_{1}$ be the largest of all the digits $x_{1}$ where $x \in X$. Then let $s_{2}$ be the largest of al the digits $x_{2}$ where $x \in X$ and $x_{1}=s_{1}$. Proceeding by induction, let $s_{n+1}$ be the largest of all the digits $x_{n+1}$ where:

- $x \in X$
- $x_{1}=s_{1}$
- $x_{2}=s_{2}$
- $\quad \vdots$
- $x_{n}=s_{n}$

Then let $s$ be the real number whose digits in decimal expansion are the $s_{i}$; in other words,

$$
s=0 . s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} s_{4} \ldots
$$

The first thing to show is that $s$ is an upper bound for $X$; namely that if $x \in X$ then $x_{n} \leq s_{n}$ for each natural number $n$. So let $\bar{x} \in X$. It will be shown by induction that $\bar{x}_{n} \leq s_{n}$. If $n=1$ then $s_{1}$ was defined to be the largest of all $x_{1}$ where $x \in X$. Since $\bar{x} \in X$ it follows that $\bar{x}_{1} \leq s_{1}$. Now suppose that it has been shown that $\bar{x}_{n} \leq s_{n}$ for all $n \leq m$. Then $s_{n+1}$ was defined to be the largest of all the digits $x_{n+1}$ where:

- $x \in X$
- $x_{1}=s_{1}$
- $x_{2}=s_{2}$
- $\quad \vdots$
- $x_{n}=s_{n}$

In particular, $\bar{x}$ satisfies all of the conditions and hence $\bar{x}_{n+1} \leq s_{n+1}$.
Finally it must be shown that if $s^{\prime}<s$ then $s^{\prime}$ is not an upper bound for $X$. But if $s^{\prime}<s$ there must be some digit where $s^{\prime}$ and $s$ disagree. Let $n$ be the least such digit; in other words,

- $s_{n}^{\prime} \neq s_{n}$
- $s_{1}^{\prime}=s_{1}$
- $s_{2}^{\prime}=s_{2}$
- $\quad \vdots$
- $s_{n-1}^{\prime}=s_{n-1}$

Moreover, since $s^{\prime}<s$ it must be the case that $s_{n}^{\prime}<s_{n}$. But by the definiton of $s_{n}$ there must be some $x \in X$ such that

- $x_{1}=s_{1}$
- $x_{2}=s_{2}$
- :
- $x_{n-1}=s_{n-1}$
and $x_{n}=s_{n}$. But then the first digit where $s^{\prime}$ and $x$ disagree is the $n^{\text {th }}$ digit and $x_{n}>s_{n}^{\prime}$, meaning that $s^{\prime}<x$. This shows that $s^{\prime}$ is not an upper bound for $X$.

Additional exercise 3. Finish the proof that bounded sets of reals have suprema; in other words, complete the proof for $X$ that are not necessarily subsets of $[0,1]$.

Theorem 2 (Intermediate Value Theorem). If If $f$ is a continuous function from $[a, b]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and $f(a)<v<f(b)$ then there is $x \in[a, b]$ such that $f(x)=v$.

Proof. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(a)<v<f(b)$. Let $X$ be the set of $y \in[a, b]$ such that $f(z) \leq v$ for all $z \in[a, y]$. Since $a \in X$ it follows that $X$ is non-empty and bounded. Letting $x$ be the supremum of $X$ it suffices to show that $f(x)=A$. This will be proved by contradiction by considering two cases.

Consider first the case that $f(x)>v$. Let $\epsilon=f(x)-v>0$. The continuity of $f$ at $x$ yields $\delta>0$ such that $f(w)>v$ for all $w$ such that $x-\delta<w<x+\delta$. In other words, the interval $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$ is disjoint from $X$ and this implies that $x-\delta$ is an upper bound for $X$, contradicting that $x$ is the supremum of $X$.

Consider next the case that $f(x)<v$. From the hypothesis that $f(a)<v<f(b)$ it follows that $x<b$. Let $\epsilon=v-f(x)>0$. The continuity of $f$ at $x$ yields $\delta>0$ such that $f(w)<v$ for all $w$ such that $x-\delta<w<x+\delta$. This implies that $x+\delta \in X$ contradicting that $x$ is an upper bound for $X$.

Since both cases lead to a contradiction, it follows that $f(x)=v$.

Theorem 3 (Extreme Value Theorem). If $f$ is a continuous function from $[a, b]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ then there is some $B$ such that:

- $f(x) \leq B$ if $a \leq x \leq b$
- there is some $x \in[a, b]$ such that $f(x)=B$.

Proof. A very similar proof to the case of Intermediate Value Theorem works here. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. To see that $f$ is bounded, let $X$ be the set of all $y \in[a, b]$ such that there is some $B$ such that $f(z)<B$ for all $z \in[a, y]$. It will be shown by contradiction that $b$ is the supremum of $X$.

Suppose that $s<b$ and $s$ is the supremum of $X$. By continuity, letting $\epsilon=1$, there is some $\delta>0$ such that $f(x)<f(s)+1$ for all $x$ such that $s-\delta<x<s+\delta$. Let $x^{*} \in X$ be arbitrary such that $s-\delta<x^{*}<s$. This means that there must be some $B$ be such that $f(z)<B$ for all $z \in\left[a, x^{*}\right]$. But then $f(z)<\max (B, f(s)+1)$ for all $z$ such that $z<s+\delta$. This contradicts that $s$ is an upper bound for $X$ since every real in $(s, s+\delta)$ is also in $X$. Hence $s=b$.

Finally it must be shown that $b \in X$. This is the same as the earlier argument. By continuity, letting $\epsilon=1$, there is some $\delta>0$ such that $f(x)<f(b)+1$ for all $x$ such that $s-\delta<x \leq b$. Let $x^{*} \in X$ be arbitrary such that $s-\delta<x^{*}<s$. This means that there must be some $B$ be such that $f(z)<B$ for all $z \in\left[a, x^{*}\right]$. But then $f(z)<\max (B, f(b)+1)$ for all $z$ such that $z \leq b$.

Now let $B$ be the supremum of the range of $f$. (Why does this exist?) Then let $Y$ be the set of $z \in[a, b]$ such that the supremum of the range of $f$ on $[a, z]$ is less than $B R$ and let $x$ be the supremum of $Y$. We now have to check that $f(x)=B$. If $f(x)<B$ then a contradiction is obtained just as in the proof of the Intermediate Value Theorem. In other words, it must be the case that $f(x)=B$.

Additional exercise 4. Define a function $f$ to be strongly continuous if for all $x$ in the domain of $f$ there is $\delta>0$ such that if $|z-x|<\delta$ then $|f(z)-f(x)|=0$. Prove that if the domain of $f$ is the interval $[a, b]$ and $f$ is strongly continuous then $f$ is constant.

Additional exercise 5. Define a function to be locally increasing if for each $x$ in its domain there is $\delta>0$ such that the function is increasing on the interval $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$. Prove that if the domain of $f$ is the interval $[a, b]$ and $f$ is locally increasing then $f$ is increasing.

Additional exercise 6. Define a function to be locally monotone if for each $x$ in its domain there is $\delta>0$ such that the function is increasing on the interval $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$ or decreasing on the interval $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$. Prove that if the domain of $f$ is the interval $[a, b]$ and $f$ is locally monotone then $f$ is monotone. Be careful, since this does not follow immediately from the previous exercise.

Additional exercise 7. Suppose that

- $X \subseteq(a, b)$
- $b$ is the supremum of $X$
- $-a$ is the supremum of $-X$ where $-X$ is defined to be the set of all $-x$ where $x \in X$.

Prove that the supremum of the $X-X$ is $b-a$ where $X-X$ is defined to be the set of all $x-y$ where $x$ and $y$ beong to $X$.
Additional exercise 8. Suppose that $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(0)=f(1)=0$. Suppose further that $f(a)>0$. Prove that there is some $b$ such that:

- $1 \leq b<a$
- $f(b)=0$
- $f(x)>0$ for all $x$ such that $b<x \leq a$.

Additional exercise 9. Suppose that $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing (or even just non-decreasing) on $[0,1]$ and $0<a<1$. Prove that $\lim _{x \rightarrow a^{-}} f(x)$ exists.

